

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/896,955 06/29/20		6/29/2001	Steven M. George	UTC 005	9982
23408	7590 08/06/2003				7
GARY C C			EXAMINER		
4010 LAKE WASHINGTON BLVD., NE #105				MEEKS, TIMOTHY HOWARD	
KIRKLAND	KIRKLAND, WA 98033			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1762	
				DATE MAILED: 08/06/2003	DATE MAILED: 08/06/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\blacksquare
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/896,955	GEORGE ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Timothy H. Meeks	1762
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address
THE I - Externafter - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuting to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after add patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, macation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of pry period will apply and will expire SIX (6), by statute, cause the application to becom	by a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BE ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>30 June 2003</u> .	
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.	
3)□	closed in accordance with the practice		matters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
	on of Claims		
•	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the ap		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.	3
·	Claim(s) <u>13-18</u> is/are allowed.		
·	Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 10-12</u> is/are rejected.		
·	Claim(s) <u>4-9</u> is/are objected to.		
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction Papers	n and/or election requirement.	
9)	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any object		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed o		disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are requi		
12)[The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner.	
•	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	r foreign priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received.	A
	2. Certified copies of the priority do		
* 5		ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority under 35 U.S	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
) The translation of the foreign langue Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	~ .	
Attachmen	_	· •	
2) Notice 3) Information	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	0-948) 5) 🔲 Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) :
J.S. Patent and T PTO-326 (Re		Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 7

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Application Status

The amendment filed on 30 June 2003 in response to the Office Action mailed on 28 March 2003 has been fully considered. In said amendment, claims 1, 2, and 4 have been amended and new claims 13-18 were added. Claims 1-18 are now pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Klaus et al. (6,09,442).

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Art Unit: 1762

The claimed process is explicitly disclosed at col. 9, lines 16-35 where use of triethylamine is used for the catalyst. The examiner notes the teaching that said catalyst can lead to formation of salts but it is also disclosed that said salts are not expected to be a problem at up to 50 AB cycles.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 6,090,442 in view of the Science article.

The substrates of claims 11 and 12 are not disclosed in the patent. However, because the disclosure at the second paragraph of the Science article describes the utility of the SiO₂ films on the claimed substrates, it would have been obvious to deposit on these substrates to achieve those utilities.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 13-18 are allowed.

Art Unit: 1762

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 30 June 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that 6,090,442 only discloses pyridine catalyst however use of triethylamine catalyst is disclosed as established above.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy H. Meeks whose telephone number is (703) 308-3816. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs.(6-6:30), Fri.(6:30-10:30).

Art Unit: 1762

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive P. Beck can be reached on (703) 308-2333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Timothy H. Meeks
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1762

fin August 4, 2003